Scott. II-48—502—21-12-21.

P&W (1922) Department.

Mis SERIES.

USA
2/2/1922

[C]

G.O. No. 99 P&W

DATED 27-1-22

Records
AK
6/2/22
KR
6958

AD

AB, Low
BM2

CB to write
R23
22/8/22

[Despatch Abstract.]

87/Gen
1224 A/24

Sectt. 11-26 (c)—29,692—11-2-21.

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 192

Abstract.

Jurisdiction - (South Kanara)- Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division abolition- sanctioned.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. P. 80 , dated 10th January 1922 .

ENCLOSURE .
No. .....
.....

READ the following papers :—

G.O.No.2458, dated 2-11-1916.

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Ref.No.A.4168-21-1, dated 31st October 1921.

ORDER.

The Government approve the proposals of the Board of Revenue for the abolition of the Mulki deputy tahsildar's division in the Mangalore taluk of the South Kanara district. The notifications submitted by the Board giving effect to the consequential redistribution of villages will be published in the Fort St.George and in the South Kanara district gazettes

2. The establishment specified in the margin will be abolished with effect from 15th February 1922 and the existing incumbents should as far as possible be provided for in permanent vacancies.

One deputy tahsildar on Rs.125
One clerk on Rs.25
two clerks on Rs.20 each.
One attender on Rs.8
two peons on Rs.7 each

3. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department regarding the abolition of the scheme for constructing a new deputy tahsildar's office at Mulki.

4.

2/

4. This sanction has been registered as No.368 of 1921-22.

(By Order of the Governor in Council)

E.W. Legh,

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.
" Judicial department.
" Public department.
" Finance department.
" Local Self Government Department.
" Public Works Department, with notes. Copy to follow
" Superintendent, Government Press, with notifications for publication.

TCS

Forwarded to the Public Works department /

(By Order)

D Chettravarswami Pillai
Superintendent. 10.1.22

TKG/10-1-22.
VA/10.1

Government of Madras

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 80, 10th January 1922

Jurisdiction

(South Kanara)—Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division—Abolition—Sanctioned

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 2458, Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916

II

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Revenue), A. No. 4168/21-1, dated 31st October 1921.

L. T. HARRIS, Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read—the following paper :—

Letter—from R. H. ELLIS, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara.
To—the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue.
Dated—the 8th October 1921.
No.—Ref. 2182/21-V-2.

I beg to report that there is a strong case for the abolition of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's office which was created in 1917 as per sanction conveyed in G.O. No. 2458, Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916, communicated with B.P. No. 1954, Miscellaneous, dated 18th November 1916. I have investigated the matter very carefully and find that there is not full-time work for a Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate in the division. With this opinion the divisional officer agrees. I shall try to show that the office can now be abolished without throwing unduly excessive work upon the Tahsildar.

2. The object of forming the new Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division is explained in the Board's Reference to Government No. 1665-15-4, dated 15th August 1916.

The number of cases disposed of by the Sub-Magistrates of Mulki and Mangalore since 1917 are as follows :—

	1917.	1918.	1919.	1920.
Mulki	64	53	47	56
Mangalore	400	343	420	505
Total ..	464	396	467	561

It will be seen from the above that if the Mulki office is abolished, the Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Mangalore to whom the magisterial work of Mulki division will have to be transferred will not have more than 561 or say 570 cases. The Board has already estimated that a file of 584 cases will not be too heavy for a full-time Magistrate. Hence it cannot be said that the work of the Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, will become unwieldy if the 46 villages forming the Mulki division were given to him.

The Board also remarked that the magisterial work of Mulki Deputy Tahsildar would be distinctly light but it justified the creation of the office on account of the heavy revenue work of the coast villages proposed to be included in Mulki division which would give relief to the Mangalore Tahsildar who had heavy work then. A statement showing the number of darkhast, kudtala and encroachment cases and of miscellaneous papers disposed of in the offices of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Deputy Tahsildar of Buntwal from 1913 to 1920 and in the office of the Deputy

Tahsildar of Mulki from 1917 to 1920 is enclosed. This statement will show that in the offices of the Tahsildar, Mangalore, and the Deputy Tahsildar, Buntwal, the darkhast, kudtala and encroachment cases have materially decreased while there is a slight decrease in the number of miscellaneous papers. As regards Mulki office it cannot be said of course that the darkhast work has gone down, but encroachment cases have materially decreased from 170 to 48. Kudtala cases have also decreased from 542 to 402, while the number of miscellaneous papers have risen from 3,000 to 3,700. Even if the entire work of the Mulki office is now given to the Tahsildar, the latter's work will be much lighter than it was in 1915, the year in which the creation of Mulki office was proposed by the Collector as will be seen from the figures given in the margin. The darkhast and encroachment work of the two offices in 1920 was less than half of what it was in 1915 in the Tahsildar's office, although the other items of work remained almost the same. It was the throwing open of land to darkhast that caused the sudden increase of work. The rush is now over and already the Tahsildar's darkhast work plus Mulki is considerably less than before the division. It is certain that the work will decrease still further in the future and when it reaches its permanent level it is likely to be considerably less than at present. I also propose that the 12 villages of the Tahsildar's jurisdiction mentioned in the margin may be transferred to the Buntwal division for both revenue and magisterial work. This will give relief to the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, and the Tahsildar. At present Buntwal division has 50 villages. Before the creation of Mulki office it had 55 villages. The 12 villages now proposed for transfer to Buntwal include the five villages which were in the Buntwal division before 1917. If the proposal is approved, the Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar will therefore have only seven villages more than he had before 1917. At present the revenue work in Buntwal division is on the whole lighter than in Mulki, and the magisterial work has also appreciably decreased. In 1915 Buntwal had 155 cases. In 1920 it had only 105. It would at first seem that there is a good case for abolishing Buntwal instead of Mulki. Mulki would also be a more healthy head-quarters. But on the other hand the interior villages require a resident officer and also it seems to me impossible to arrange conveniently for the magisterial jurisdiction over the 29 villages of Karkal taluk now under Buntwal. Since 1915 the magisterial and darkhast work in Buntwal division having materially gone down, the addition of the 12 villages to it will not therefore make it heavy.

	Darkhast.	Kudtala.	Encroachment.	Miscellaneous papers.
1915.				
Tahsildar	2,542	1,280	396	16,894
1920.				
Tahsildar	712	918	122	13,719
Mulki	430	402	48	3,737
Total ..	1,142	1,320	170	17,456

1. Tenka Bellur.
2. Amunaje
3. Pudu.
4. Mermajal.
5. Phajir.
6. Chelur.
7. Balepuni.
8. Kurnad.
9. Badagabelluru.
10. Kariangala.
11. Kodamannu.
12. Kairangala.

If the proposals are approved the Tahsildar will have 118 villages which is almost the same as the Udipi Tahsildar's charge (115) and less than that of the Tahsildar of Uppinangadi (132).

I propose this abolition particularly in view of the fact that an expensive office is just about to be built at Mulki. Once the office is built we stand committed to the continuation of an officer whose work is already insufficient to give him full employment and the case will as I think I have demonstrated above be considerably worse a few years hence when the number of darkhasts has fallen to a normal level. As the Government have already negatived the postponement of the construction of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's office—vide B.P. No. 2186, Routine, dated 20th September 1921—I urge that the Board may be pleased to lay before the Government the above case at an early date and move Government for the postponement of the building work until the question of the abolition of the office is finally settled. I have apprised the Superintending Engineer of the submission of the above proposals to the Board.

Reference—No. A. 4168-21-1, dated 31st October 1921.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board considers that there is not sufficient work for a Deputy Tahsildar to justify the retention of the post. Mr. Ellis who knows the district well has made out a strong case for the abolition of this office and the Board supports his proposal.

3. Draft notifications giving effect to the proposals are submitted.

H. R. UZIELLI,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government Revenue Department with two draft notifications in duplicate.

ENCLOSURES

NOTIFICATIONS

(1)

With effect from 15th February 1922, the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division in the Mangalore taluk of the South Kanara district comprising the undermentioned villages will be abolished and the villages transferred to the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, for revenue and magisterial purposes respectively :—

1. Hejmadi.
2. Bappanad.
3. Karnad.
4. Chitrap.
5. Padupanambur.
6. Pavanje.
7. Haleangadi.
8. Bellairu.
9. Thokur.
10. Koikude.
11. Panja.
12. Kilenjar.
13. Attur.
14. Kemral.
15. Mennabettu.
16. Nadugodu.
17. Kondemula.
20. Aikala.
21. Elinje.
22. Talepadi.
23. Elthur.
24. Shimantur
25. Kilpadi.
26. Manampadi.
27. Athikaribettu.
28. Karnire.
29. Kavatar.
30. Kollur.
31. Balkunje.
32. Ulepady
33. Inna.
34. Mulladka.
35. Mundkur.
36. Perumude.
56. Thenkayekkar.
57. Badagayekkar.
58. Delanthabettu.
59. Kuthethur.
60. Surinje.
61. Madya.
62. Chellairu.
63. Sashitlu.
64. Surathkal.
65. Iddya.
66. Hosbettu
80. Bajape.

(2)

With effect from 15th February 1922, the undermentioned villages of Mangalore taluk, South Kanara district, which are now under the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, for revenue and magisterial purposes respectively will be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of buntwal :—

1. Tenka Bellur.
2. Amunaje.
3. Pudu.
4. Mermajal.
5. Phajir.
6. Chelur.
7. Balepuni.
8. Kurnad.
9. Badagabelluru.
10. Kariangala.
11. Kodamannu.
12. Kairangala.

(3)

Disposals.

Name of office.	Darkhast cases.	Kudtala cases.	Encroachment cases.	Miscellaneous papers.
1913.				
Mangalore Taluk office	3,415	549	447	14,795
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	813	238	97	5,197
Mulki do.	..	..		..
1914.				
Mangalore Taluk office	3,147	882	250	15,294
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	744	139	72	5,050
Mulki do.	..	..	..	..
1915.				
Mangalore Taluk office	2,242	1,280	396	16,894
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	558	251	53	4,553
Mulki do.	..	..	..	..
1916.				
Mangalore Taluk office	1,963	1,312	356	14,760
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	492	286	51	4,456
Mulki do.	..	..	..	..

Disposals—*cont.*

Name of office.	Darkhast cases.	Kudtala cases.	Encroachment cases.	Miscellaneous papers.
1917.				
Mangalore Taluk office	1,320	1,216	188	14,026
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	351	269	47	4,420
Mulki do.	485	542	170	3,018
1918.				
Mangalore Taluk office	1,105	1,100	123	13,701
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	257	292	27	4,298
Mulki do.	507	511	138	3,509
1919.				
Mangalore Taluk office	850	1,044	124	13,644
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	168	278	9	4,111
Mulki do.	410	569	54	3,785
1920.				
Mangalore Taluk office	712	918	122	13,719
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	288	344	16	4,418
Mulki do.	430	402	48	3,737
1921 (First half-year.)				
Mangalore Taluk office	308	362	46	5,549.
Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office	113	99	6	2,186
Mulki do.	117	141	23	1,555

III

Memorandum No. 2709-B/21-1, dated 25th November 1921.

The Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, is informed that the work of constructing a Deputy Tahsildar's office at Mulki should not be undertaken pending receipt of final orders on the proposal now under consideration to abolish the Deputy Tahsildar's division.

W. Hutton,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D., (General, Buildings and Roads.)

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

Order—No. 80, Revenue, dated 10th January 1922.

Miscellaneous

The Government approve the proposals of the Board of Revenue for the abolition of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division in the Mangalore taluk of the South Kanara district. The notifications submitted by the Board giving effect to the consequential redistribution of villages will be published in the Fort St. George and in the South Kanara District Gazettes.

	Rs.
One Deputy Tahsildar on ...	125
One clerk on	25
Two clerks on	20 each.
One attender on	8
Two peons on	7 each.

2. The establishment specified in the margin will be abolished with effect from 15th February 1922 and the existing incumbents should, as far as possible, be provided for in permanent vacancies.

3. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department regarding the abolition of the scheme for constructing a new Deputy Tahsildar's office at Mulki.

4. This sanction has been registered as No. 368 of 1921–22.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

E. W. Legh,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
,, Judicial Department.
,, Public ,,
,, Finance ,,
,, Local Self-Government Department.
,, Public Works Department with notes.
,, Superintendent, Government Press, with notifications for publication.
,, Publicity Officer.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 80, REVENUE. DATED 10TH JANUARY 1922.

[SUBJECT.—*The abolition of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division, South Kanara.*]

Previous papers :

G.O.	1929,	*Revenue,*	8*th June* 1910
,,	2994	,,	10*th Sep.* ,,
,,	8700	,,	18*th Dec.* 1911
,,	1774	,,	15*th June* 1912
,,	867	,,	19*th Mar.* 1914
,,	8484	,, *Mis.*	1*st Dec.* ,,
,,	2458	,, *Press*	2*nd Nov.* 1916

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. A-4168/21-1, dated 31st October 1921

The Board recommends the abolition of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's office, which was created in 1917. by transferring—(vide G.O. No. 2458. dated 2nd November 1916).

(1) the forty-six villages now comprising the Mulki, Deputy Tahsildar's division to the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar and the Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Mangalore for revenue and magisterial purposes respectively (page 5 of G.O. No. 2458, dated 2nd November 1916) and

(2) the twelve villages now under the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Mangalore to that of Deputy Tahsildar, Bantval.

2. The arguments put forward by the Collector in favour of the abolition proposed are summed up below :—

(i) *The Magisterial work.*—In Mulki division the magisterial work is light (vide statement on page 1, current file) and if the Mulki office is abolished, the Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Mangalore. to whom the magisterial work of Mulki division will have to be transferred, will not have heavy work since. as will be seen from the statement on page 1, current file, he will have only less than 984 cases which. in the Board's opinion will not be too heavy for a full-time magistrate—page 3 of G.O. No. 2458, dated 2nd November 1916

(ii) *The Revenue work.*—The Collector certifies (vide statement on page 3, current file) that in the offices of the Tahsildar, Mangalore, and the Deputy Tahsildar, Bantval, the number of darkhast, Kudtala, and encroachment cases have materially decreased, while there is a slight decrease in the number of miscelloneous papers. In the case of Mulki division encroachment and Kudtala cases have materially decreased. Even if the entire work of the Mulki office is now given to the Tahsildar, the latter's work will be much lighter than it was in 1915 as will be seen from the statement on page 2, current file. The Collector also asserts that the work will decrease still further in the future.

The Collector in this connexion proposes that 12 villages (mentioned in the margin on page 2, current file) now under Tahsildar's jurisdiction may be transferred to the Bantval division for both revenue and magisterial work, with a view to give relief to the Tahsildar and Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore.

(iii) *Comparison with other taluks.*—If the abolition of the Mulki division is approved as proposed in paragraph 1 above the Tahsildar of Mangalore will have 118 villages which is almost the same as Udipi Tahsildar's charge (115) and less than that of Uppinangadi (132).

(iv) *Expensive office.*—An estimate amounting to Rs. 35,470 was sanctioned for constructing a new office at Mulki. The Collector proposes the abolition of Mulki division particularly in view of the fact that an expensive office is just to be built. He proposes that the work may be postponed until the question of the abolition of the office is finally settled. **A**

3. As to the date from which the new arrangements are to take effect the Board suggests 1st January 1922.

4. Submitted the Board's proposals in paragraphs 1 and 3 above may be accepted and the draft notifications on pages 3, current file, may be approved and published in Fort St. George and South Kanara District Gazettes.

5. The following are the establishment sanctioned for the Mulki office when it was created in 1917 :—

	Rs.
One Deputy Tahsildar	25
One clerk	25
Two clerks	20 each
One attender	8
Two peons	7 each

The Collector may be requested to provide the existing incumbents in permanent vacancies.

6. With reference to the Collector's proposal at **A** above it may be approved in this department. If the proposals in paragraphs 1 and 3 above are approved, the whole scheme for constructing a new office at Mulki may be given up. Public Works Department may be requested to issue orders to that effect

7. A draft order is submitted for approval and may be sent to Finance Judicial and Public Works Department for perusal before issue.

P.P.M.—19-11-21. P.D.—28-11-21.

It has all along been the aim to reduce the Mangalore taluk, on account of the heavy work it gives the Tahsildar. Mangalore is the headquarters of the district and the Tahsildar will have odds and ends to do and mere statistics may not be a safe criterion of the work involved. The effect of the present proposal would be to increase the number of villages under the direct charge of the Tahsildar from 84 to 118. The Bantval Deputy Tahsildar's division at present comprises 50 villages and under the present proposals the number will be increased to 62. Prior to November 1912, the Bantval division comprised 74 villages. As the division was found to be "very extensive and unwieldy", 19 villages were transferred to the direct charge of the Tahsildar in November 1912. Subsequently five more were transferred to Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division which was constituted in 1916. It may also be noted that the Deputy Tahsildar exercises magisterial jurisdiction over 29 villages of Karkal taluk (paragraph 2 at page 2 of G.O. No. 2458, Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916.

In these circumstances the proposals which result in enlarging both the Mangalore Tahsildar's direct charge and Bantval division require careful consideration. The Board considers that Mr. Ellis who knows the district well has made out a strong case in support of his proposals. Secretary might perhaps like to consult Mr. R. A. Graham who as Collector of the district, took an active part in its reorganization. In the meantime the file may be sent to Public Works Department with the request not to proceed with the construction of the Deputy Tahsildar's office at Mulki pending final orders of Government on the present proposals. **B** **K**

P. T. SRINIVASA ACHARIYAR—23-11-21.

Public Works Department for favour of note and return.

E. W. LEACH—23-11-21.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

A draft memorandum to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, with reference to '**K**' on page 2 ante is put up. After its issue, the file may be returned to Revenue.

K.V.—25-11-21. K.S.—25-11-21. J. S. WESTERDALE—25-11-21.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The Public Works Department have informed the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, that the work of constructing a Deputy Tahsildar's office at Mulki should not be undertaken pending final orders on the proposal to abolish the division. The file is submitted to Under Secretary with reference to portion marked **B** of the note on page 2 ante.

V.S.—1-12-21. P.D.—1-12-21.

Attention is invited to the notes above.

P. T. SRINIVASA ACHARIYAR—2-12-21.

Chief Secretary has, I think, personal knowledge of this area. I have none. Is there any strong reason against accepting the Board's proposal to abolish Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's office? I should be grateful for an opinion.

E. W. LEGH—3-12-21.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted with reference to Revenue note above.

P. I. PAINTER—7-12-21.

The Collector's case seems to be good enough. It is a long time since I was there.

R. A. GRAHAM—11-12-21.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Submitted for orders with reference to the Chief Secretary's note above.

V.S.—15-12-21. P.D.—15-12-21.

P.T.S.—16-12-21.

Please see Collector's proposal supported by Board to abolish a Deputy Tahsildar's division in South Kanara.

The proposal may be accepted and draft passed.

E.W.L.—20-12-21.

I accept the recommendation. I suppose Finance will see this in due course.

M. H[ABIB-UL-LAH]—31-12-21.

Finance for perusal before issue.

E.W.L.—3-1-22.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.

The draft may be accepted, subject to the addition of a sentence that the sanction has been registered as No. 368 of 1921-22.

T.R.N.N.—5-1-22. V. GOPALAKRISHNA AYYAR—6-1-22.

T. E. MOIR—6-1-22.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The draft order may issue.

V.S.—8-1-22. P.D.—10-1-22.

Issue.

P.T.S.—10-1-22.

[G.O. No. 80, Revenue, dated 10th January 1922.]

P.W.D.

Appln. C no 7/1 [illegible] from the Revenue Dept
[illegible] 10 th January 1922.
1 [illegible] 6 marks by Inhabitants Division

T.S.

Please see para 3 of the
P.L.C. Revenue Dept G.O. read above
A draft order ~~to~~ Communicating
the G.O to the S.E. IV Circle and
withdrawing the sanction accorded
'S' to the scheme in G.O no. 870 W dt.
3.6.1920 is submitted.

The existing provision of
Rs 3,000 for the work in the current
years' budget has since been proposed
for resumption. The draft order may
be issued after passed by the
Revenue Department

WSR
18.1.22

C.E. II
20/1

19.1.22

Rev.

Thigh B
19.1.22

Revenue Department

P.W.D. no. 79 Works B/22-1 — to under sec.
20.1.22.

the draft order may be perused
and the file returned to PWD

21-1-22

Returned with thanks

PWD

Rc
21.1.22

RECEIVED C
RECEIVED
REVENUE DEPT.
207
21-1-22

Revt. 267 C/22-1
24-1-1922.

DS

The draft a doc may
now be issued

Hello

C.T.T
27/1

25

Scott. II-10 (a)—26,596—11-4-21.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.	18 Jan	
When copied		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

'S'

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 870 W, dated 3. 6. 1920.

" " " PA " " 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 79 W&B From the Revenue Deptt. to Govt. No. P. 80, dated 10. 1. 1922.

" " " " " " " " 192 .

" " " " " " " " 192 .

ORDER.

No. 990 W, dated 27-1- 1922

Mis

'S'

Communicated to the S.E. IV Circle

2. The sanction accorded in G.O. no. 870 W & 3.6.1920 for the Construction of a new Deputy Tahsildar's Office at Mulki in the South Kanara District is hereby withdrawn.

E IV Circle (2 copies)
G. Madras.
Revenue Deptt. (2 copies)
" Revenue Deptt. (2 copies)
D 31/1/22

UOR 18.1.22

Illegible 19.1.22

C.T.I 20/1

For Secy

PD.